

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 8, 1999 LB 211

revarnished, and there's quite a difference. And I don't want you to think that the smoking has caused all of this problem to the mural, but it is believed that it is a contributing factor and that it causes more of the dirt to stick to the walls, which I had stated in my opening statement. So I just wanted to advise you what that picture was that was handed out. It was one of the murals in the Governor's Office and that's what our restoration has looked after they get finished. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator. Seeing no further lights, Senator Landis, you're recognized to close on your amendment.

SENATOR LANDIS: (Laugh.) Well, I guess this amendment will have a rocky road based on the rhetoric we've had today. Look, the rationale for the bill is that this is a public building with tourists and with kids, and that's a legitimate one. I understand why it's here and I can accept that. That's legitimate to me. I'll live with this for myself, no matter what. It's that people, that are probably a little bit above minimum wage, working night hours, probably don't feel comfortable in talking to you, but they don't hesitate in talking to me, saying, gosh, isn't there some place inside the building where we could smoke at night on a break so that we wouldn't have to go outside? I think that's a legitimate accommodation to make. And we're not talking about a large number of people. We're not talking about replicating what happens when the building is open to the public and there are many smokers in the building using it the same time. Seems to me that the safety concerns for those employees are something that can't be ignored. If you choose to vote and...for the bill and against the amendment, I'm sure people will accommodate that and live with that one way or the other. But I didn't want it ignored and I didn't want it to pass by without being thought about. It seems to me a legitimate accommodation to make. Others may disagree. I do think that we need to find ways of not having one side of an issue simply compel the other side, who doesn't see it the same way, to dispense with their behavior. It seems to me, where possible, people ought to be able to work out their differences and this is an attempt to do that. But if I've misjudged the body or if the...if the interests of the safety of employees are not legitimate or are